## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

STATE OF NEW MEXICO, *ex rel*. State Engineer, Plaintiff,

69cv07896-BB RIO TAOS ADJUDICATION

- V-

EDUARDO ABEYTA, et al. & CELSO ARELLANO, et al., Defendants.

69cv07939-BB RIO HONDO ADJUDICATION Consolidated

> Section: Rio Pueblo de Taos Ditch: Vigil and Romo Ditch

## ORDER APPLYING PRIORITY DATE FOR VIGIL AND ROMO DITCH TO INDIVIDUAL SUBFILES

In the May 13, 2002 Memorandum Opinion and Order (Docket No. 3026), the Court clarified procedures for determining subfile priority dates and stated that acequia-wide priority dates are to be applied to the water rights they serve. Each claimant has had an opportunity to prove an earlier priority date during the subfile phase and objectors will have the opportunity to prove later priority dates during the *inter se* phase. (No. 3026) On February 21, 2003, the Court entered a Memorandum Opinion and Order (No. 3102) that adopted a priority date of 1890 for the Vigil and Romo Ditch.

This Order applies the priority date adopted for the Vigil and Romo Ditch to each subfile irrigation water right it serves. The acequia-wide priority date does not apply to supplemental irrigation wells or springs, nor does it apply to individual subfile water rights previously denied by the Court. All water right claims denied have a priority date of "None." Subfile priority dates are listed in Exhibit A attached to this Order.

It is therefore ordered that the 1890 priority date adopted for Vigil and Romo Ditch is applied to individual subfile water rights as set forth in Exhibit A.

BRUCE D. BLACK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

## EXHIBIT A VIGIL AND ROMO DITCH

Individual Subfile Priority Dates	
Subfile Number	Priority Date
17.87	1890
17.88	1890
17.88A	1890
17.88B	1890
17.88C	1890
17.88D	1890